Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/651,115	MEASE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachary M. Pape	2835
All Participants:	Status of Applica	tion: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) Zachary M. Pape.	(3)	
(2) <u>Stephen Weed (45,202)</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: 20 November 2007	Time: <u>N/A</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Rejection to all claims		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Lo and Huges (both of record)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WI	HAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substan	de a written summary of the substance ce of the interview, since the interview
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Represe	ntative Signature – if appropriate)
•		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner telephoned Mr. Weed regarding the submission dated 9/20/2007 and noted that if certain limitations were added, the claims may be allowable. Mr Weed, on 11/2/2007 submitted, via fax, an amendment to the claims including some of the recommendations from the Examiner. The Examiner then phoned Mr. Weed and suggested that the limitation, "at most three base recesses" be added to each of the claims to read around the Lo, Hughes, and Shah references, Mr. Weed agreed. The Examiner then phoned Mr. Weed regarding claim 21 since "the recesses" lacked antecedent basis. Mr. Weed agreed and authorized the Examiner to change the limitation to read, "the card supporting recesses". The Examiner thanks Mr. Weed for his professionalism.